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This paper deals with the application of relaxation method of obtaining a number of useful information at different instants during the transient period of Marx surge generator. In this connection the differential equation involved in the said circuit is solved by transforming a marching problem into a jury one. It also suggests a method to obtain the required group operation patterns to liquidate the residuals in a definite number of steps. The results thus obtained are compared with those calculated by the conventional method of solution of transient circuit problem.

INTRODUCTION

The device known as Marx surge generator is used to apply a very rapidly rising voltage similar to that arising from lightning or switching to apparatus for test purposes. The actual and its equivalent circuit diagrams of the device are shown in figure 1 and figure 2, respectively (Mem. Staff Dept. Elect. Engg., M. I. T., 1962). The differential equation that can be developed for the transient state is solved by relaxation method following the technique suggested by Allen (1954), namely, by converting the marching problem into a jury one. Thereby a number of desired quantities is found out at different instants simultaneously without much difficulty.

In order to solve the differential equation by relaxation method certain finite-difference approximations to derivatives are used. Thus the differential equation is replaced by a set of simultaneous algebraic equations to be satisfied by the values of the wanted function at the same set of points of subdivision in the range of integration, the required number of end conditions at both the ends being known. At each point of subdivision a residual is defined by a typical formula and the solution lies in the liquidation of these residuals at different points of subdivision in the range. In this connection it has been possible to develop a method of obtaining a number of group operation patterns to liquidate the residuals in a definite number of steps following the similar procedure suggested by Dutta (1966), in the case of linear simultaneous algebraic equations.
In order to show the applicability of relaxation method in the solution of the said problem the equivalent circuit (figure 2) is considered which is found to represent the actual circuit of the surge generator (figure 1), rather accurately. The capacitance $C_1$ (figure 1) of the tested apparatus significantly affects the behaviour of the surge generator-circuit. However the specimen is assumed to be of negligible capacitance and prior to breakdown, of negligible conductance. The circuit also unavoidably has a small inductance, which is sometimes increased thereby playing a significant part in the operation. In the case of electrical breakdown of the specimen the resistance $R_4$ (figures 1 and 2) is in effect short-circuited temporarily. Usually the voltage drop in the generator spark gaps can be neglected during condenser-discharge period as can the current in the charging resistors. Therefore the effects are not represented in the equivalent circuit. Although some of these assumptions may have to be reconsidered for accurate results in actual practice, the salient features of surge-generator behaviour are exhibited in this problem.
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The problem therefore reduces to that of a simple RLc circuit where \( R, L \) and \( C \) represent the resistance, inductance, and capacitance respectively. After tripping of the generator the circuit may be supposed to contain no sources and reaches a steady state with zero condenser charge and zero current, that means,

\[ Q_0 = 0; \quad I_0 = 0 \]  

where \( Q_0 \) and \( I_0 \) are steady state charge and current respectively.

Prior to tripping of the generator the resultant elastance \( E \) is charged with a charge \( q_0 \)

\[ q(0^-) = a \text{ coulombs} \]  

while,

\[ i(0^-) = b = 0 \]  

where \( q(0^-) \) and \( i(0^-) \) are the respective charge and current just before tripping.

Again just after tripping of the generator,

\[ q(0^+) = q(0^-) = a \text{ coulombs} \]  

and,

\[ i(0^+) = i(0^-) = 0 \]  

where \( q(0^+) \) and \( i(0^+) \) are the respective charge and current just after tripping.

Now the differential equation of the circuit after the tripping of the generator can be written as follows:

\[ \frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + \frac{R}{L_1} \frac{dq}{dt} + \frac{1}{L_1} = 0 \]  

where \( q \) is the instantaneous charge of the condenser and \( R = (R_1 + R_2) \), \( L_1 \) and \( C_0 \) are as shown in the figure 2.

The above differential equation is of the form as shown below:

\[ \frac{d^2q}{dt^2} + w \frac{dq}{dt} + \eta q = 0 \]  

where \( w = \frac{R}{2L_1} \) and \( \eta = \frac{1}{L_1} \).

This equation is a second order differential equation and two end conditions are supplied at one end that means, at \( t = 0 \),

\[ u = a \text{ coulombs; } \quad i = \frac{dt}{dt} = 0 \]  

and no end condition is supplied at the other end. But this problem being of matching type cannot be solved directly by relaxation method.
In order to make the problem relaxationally solvable it has to be converted into a jury one by changing its order to four, having replaced \( q \) by a new variable \( w \), and by imposing two extra end conditions.

The simple and sufficient substitution in this case is,

\[
q = \frac{dw}{dt}
\]  

Therefore the equation (8) becomes,

\[
\frac{d^4w}{dt^4} + \frac{d^3w}{dt^3} + \frac{d^2w}{dt^2} = 0
\]  

and the end conditions (9) transforms into that at \( t = 0 \),

\[
\frac{d^4w}{dt^4} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^3w}{dt^3} = 0
\]

As the two extra end conditions are to be chosen at the other end, that means, at \( t = t_1 \), the simple choice can be made as,

\[
w = 0; \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = 0
\]

Then to have the relaxational solution of the equation (11), the derivatives are replaced by finite difference approximations holding good at a typical point of subdivision \( \sigma \) of the range of integration as shown below,

\[
\frac{1}{h^4} \left( -4w_{x+1} + 6w_x - 4w_{x-1} + w_{x+2} \right) + \frac{h^2}{6} \left( w_{x+1} - 2w_{x+2} + 2w_{x-1} \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
- \frac{w}{h^4} \left( w_{x+1} - 2w_x + w_{x-1} \right) = 0
\]  

where \( h \) is the uniform interval between the successive points of subdivision. This expression after rearrangement and simplification can be written as,

\[
w_x(12 - 4h^2) + w_{x+1}(8 - 2mh^2 + 2h^4) + w_{x-1}(-8 + 2mh^2 + 2h^4) + w_{x+2}(2 - mh^2) + w_{x-2}(2 + mh^2) = 0
\]

At each point of subdivision \( x \), a residual is defined by,

\[
F_x = w_x(12 - 4h^2) + w_{x+1}(8 - 2mh^2 + 2h^4) + w_{x-1}(-8 + 2mh^2 + 2h^4) + w_{x+2}(2 - mh^2) + w_{x-2}(2 + mh^2)
\]

or,

\[
F_x = Aw_x + Bw_{x+1} + Cw_{x+2} + Dw_{x-1} + Ew_{x-2}
\]  

\[\cdots \]
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where,
\[
A = 12 - 4th^3 \\
B = -8 - 2th^3 \\
C = 2 + mh \\
C' = 3 - mh.
\]

Therefore every residual is defined by formula (16) and hence a relaxation pattern can be constructed directly from it instead of writing operation and relaxation tables. The process of liquidation of the residuals required in connection with the solution is carried out on a relaxation diagram in place of relaxation table.

The range of integration is divided into five intervals by four points of subdivision. Then using the residual formula (16), the expressions for the residuals at different points of subdivision can be written as follows,
\[
P_x = X_{n+1} + Y_{n+1} + Z_{n+1} + Mw \\
P_1 = X_{n+1} + Y_{n+1} + Z_{n+1} + C_{n+1} + M_w \\
P_2 = C_{n+1} + B_{n+1} + A_{n+1} + B_{n+1} + C_{n+1} \\
P_3 = C_{n+1} + B_{n+1} + A_{n+1} + B_{n+1} \\
P_4 = C_{n+1} + B_{n+1} + Z_{n+1} + M_w
\]

where,
\[
X = B + 3C' \\
Y = B + 4C' \\
Z = C + C' \\
W = B + 2C'
\]

From the above formulae (17 to 21) the following point relaxation patterns showing the amount of changes produced in the residuals caused by the changes in the values of the wanted function at the typical point of subdivision can be easily written.

Patterns I–V
The first three residuals can be liquidated by point relaxation pattern and the last but one residual is liquidated by block relaxation pattern which can be easily found out from the above patterns I to V. In order to liquidate the last residual a suitable group operation pattern can be found out by the method suggested here. This group operation pattern can be obtained by using the patterns I to V, the group operation and the block operation patterns (developed from those point relaxation patterns I to V), in which the first four residuals remain unchanged. Following the same procedure it is also possible to obtain the required group operation patterns to start the liquidation from the other end of the range quite quickly and systematically. Although this method suggests a general procedure to obtain the required group operation pattern it may be possible in some particular cases to find out the desired group or block operation patterns more easily depending on the type of differential equations and circuit parameters after careful study of the point relaxation pattern (Basu 1958; Joarder 1968).

ILLUSTRATION

The example that has been taken for illustration is based on the one worked out by different method (Mem. Staff Dept. Elec. Engg., M. I. T., 1962) in which,

\[ R_1 = 100 \text{ ohms}, R_2 = 5000 \text{ ohms}, L_1 = 900 \times 10^{-4} \text{ henry}, \]
\[ S_i = 80 \times 10^{-7} \text{ daf} \text{s (8 of } 1.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ daf} \text{s each)} \]. The condensers are each charged to 20000 volts prior to initiating the surge.

It is desired to calculate the values of the charge of the condenser of capacitance \( S_i \) (figure 2) at different instants \( t \) after tripping of the generator.

Substituting the numerical values of different circuit constants in the differential equation (7) and performing the necessary transformations and simplifications along with the use of the conditions found out from the supplied data indicated in that connection, the residual formulae holding good at different points of subdivision can be written as follows.

\[
F_x = 36u_x - 72u_y + 36u_z - 11.25 \times 10^{-10} \quad (17A)
\]
\[
F_y = -35.6u_x + 114.7u_y - 122.6u_z + 43.5u_v + 23.475 \times 10^{-10} \quad (18A)
\]
\[
F_z = -7.5u_x - 20.6u_y + 107.2u_z - 122.6u_v + 43.5u_x \quad (19A)
\]
\[
F_v = -7.5u_x - 20.6u_y + 107.2u_z - 122.6u_v \quad (20A)
\]
\[
F_i = -7.5u_x - 20.6u_y + 150.7u_z \quad (21A)
\]
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and the following point relaxation patterns are obtained.

\[ \begin{align*}
\mathbf{P_0} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P_1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P_3} \\
\mathbf{P_4} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P_5} \\
\mathbf{P_6} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P_7} \\
\mathbf{P_8} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P_9} \\
\mathbf{P_{10}} & \rightarrow \mathbf{P_{11}} \\
\end{align*} \]

The relaxation diagram can be constructed as shown in figure 3 below.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
37.9254 & 24.5261 & 15.5730 \\
33.614 & 19.3145 & 14.8737 \\
3 & 0 & 0.158 \\
1.498 & 0 & 0.158 \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 3. Relaxation diagram.

In figure 3 the initially guessed values of the functions are written above and to the left and the calculated values of the residuals are recorded above and to the right at each point of subdivision marked on the base line. The residuals thus obtained are multiplied by $10^4$ for having greater accuracy and simplification in calculation.

As far as the liquidation is concerned the residuals $F_0, F_1,$ and $F_2$ are easily liquidated by using the point relaxation patterns IA, IVA and VA respectively, and the block relaxation pattern VIA is used to liquidate $F_3$ as shown clearly in figure 3. Lastly to liquidate $F_4, F_5,$ and $F_6$ in order to do this, from point relaxation patterns IA and IIA a group operation
pattern IIb having no effect on $P_3$ is obtained. From this pattern IIb and IVb, another group operation pattern IIB can be developed in which the residues $P_0$ and $P_1$ remain unchanged. Next making use of this pattern IIb and IVb a group operation IIIb which brings about no change in $P_0$, $P_1$ and $P_3$ can be easily found out. Finally from the pattern IIb and IIIb the desired group operation pattern IVb is obtained in which $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$ and $P_3$ remain unaffected and they are shown below.

Thus the last residual $P_3$ can be easily liquidated by using the group operation pattern IVb, clearly indicated in figure 3. Although the calculation have been carried on upto higher place of decimal they are recorded upto fourth place in the relaxation diagram (figure 3) giving due consideration to the order of accuracy desired in this type of problem. From these values of $v_n$, $w_n$, $x_n$, $y_n$ and $z_n$ the values of the charges of those points of subdivision corresponding to successive instants can be easily calculated. The values thus obtained are compared with those calculated by the conventional method and they are found to agree fairly well as shown in table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Quantity of charge in coulomb at the following instants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxation</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

This paper reveals clearly that relaxationally it is possible to find out the values of the desired quantities (here charges) simultaneously at different instants. Although here charges at five successive instants are found out it can be extended easily to calculate the same at larger number of instants as required in a problem at a time. By varying the value of \( h \) in the finite-difference approximation equation it is possible to attain higher accuracy.

The method developed here in order to obtain the desired group operation pattern for liquidating the residual can be used to the differential equation of any order and to keep the required number of residuals unaffected at a time So it is possible to bring about the liquidation quickly in a definite number of steps.
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