EPR study of the stable radical in a γ -irradiated single crystal of 5<-dihydrotestosterone

N S Aly
Department of Physics, Faculty of Education, Alexandria University,
Alexandria, Egypt
and
I Mahmoud, M E Bassioni and A M Hafez*
Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University,
Alexandria, Egypt


Received 29 May 1989, accepted 6 November 1990

Steroids are compounds of great biological importance in nature. The earliest analysis of the EPR spectra of X-irradiated powders of some steroid hormones was done by Rexroad and Gordy (1959). The analysis of the molecular structure of the free radical formed in γ -irradiated single crystals of some steroids has been reported previously (Krzyminiewski et al 1983, Hafez et al 1984, 1987, Szyczewski et al 1986).

Single crystals of 5c-dihydrotestosterone were grown from acetone solution by slow evaporation at room temperature. The crystal is monoclinic, the unit-cell dimensions being a = 11.614 Å, b = 8.09 Å, c = 9.422 Å, $\beta = 99.23^{\circ}$, and the space group p2₁ (Busetta et al 1972). There are two molecules per unit cell. The crystallographic axes were located by external morphology and identified by X-ray methods. For EPR measurements, a set of orthogonal reference axes, a, b, c* was chosen. Figure 1 shows the 5-c-dihydrotestosterone molecule, the numbering system used, the external form of the crystal, and the reference axes. The crystals were y-irradiated at about 295 K in a 60 Co source to a total dose of about 15 Mrad. EPR spectra were recorded at room temperature as the first derivative of the absorption using the reflection spectrometer (home-made operating at 8.7 GHz with 100 KHz field modulation). Spectra were recorded at intervals of 5° or 10°. The crystal could be oriented with an absolute accuracy of ±2.5°. However, the relative accuracy was greater than ±0.5°. The principal values and direction cosines of the hyperfine coupling tensor and g-tensor were calculated by using IBM-Pc computer. The errors quoted are standard deviations.

The EPR spectra of irradiated 5<-dihydrotestosterone single crystal have a complicated hyperfine structure and high intensity. Figure 2 shows the EPR

^{*}To whom all correspondence should be addressed,






Figure 1. Schematic representation of the dihydrotestosterone molecule and external configuration of the single crystal with crystallographic abc and EPR reference abc* axes.

ImT






Figure 2. EPR spectra of a dihydrotestosterone single crystal irradiated at 295 K with 15 Mrad. Magnetic field is oriented at 60° from the C*-axis in the C* a plane. The stick spectra indicate the expected hyperfine pattern of the investigated radical. Upper spectrum (a) was recorded 2 hrs. after irradiation, lower (b) after about 8 months.

spectrum of a single crystal of 5-d-dihydrotestosterone, the upper spectrum was recorded 2 hrs. after irradiation, the lower one after about 8 months. The difference between them is caused either by interconversion or by difference in the rate of recombination of two types of radicals formed after irradiation.

The EPR spectra of the stable radical were interperted as a doublet split into two doublets. The angular variation of anisotropic doublet splitting, as well as of the g-tensor, in three orthogonal planes, are shown in Figure 3. The eigen-values and direction cosines of the hyperfine coupling tensors and g-tensors are listed in Table 1.




Figure 3. Angular dependences of the g-factor (a) and of A_α hyperfine coupling (b) in the dihydrotestosterone radical. The circles represent the experimental points and the solid lines are the calculated curves.

The high anisotropy of the tensor, suggests that the doublet arises from the interaction between the unpaired electron and one proton in the α -position. Furthermore, the hyperfine splitting of the two remaining doublets does not vary significantly with the crystal orientation indicating the interaction of the unpaired electron with two inequivalent protons in the β -position.

It is proposed that the stable radical is formed by the abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the carbon atom C(2). Such structure implies the interaction of an unpaired electron with one proton in the «-position at C(2) atom and with two

inequivalent protons in the β -position at the C(1) atom. The unpaired electron is delocalized on carbon atoms C(2), C(3) and on oxygen atom O(3) (Figure 4).

Table i.	The princi	ipal val	ues and d	irection	cosines o	f the g-tensoi	t and
hyperfine	coupling	tensor	(in mT)‡	for the	radical in	γ -irradiated	dihy-
drotestosterone single crystal.							

Tensor	Principal values	Direction cosines §			
g	2.0023 2.0040 2.0064	0.4640 ∓ 0.1098 0.8789	- 0.7174 - 0.5774 0.3761	- 0.5194 0.8055 0.2618	
g(iso)	2.0040				
A_{α}	1.0 1.9 2.65	∓ 0.4326 ± 0.3227 ∓ 0.8408	- 0.5896 ∓ 0.7154 0.2602	0.6759 平0.6155 - 0.3522	
Aα (iso)	1.85				
Α _{β1} (iso)	2.13				
Α _{β2} (iso)	4.36				

[†] Principal values \pm 0.0002.

The isotropic g-value, $g_{iso}=2.0042$ is appreciably higher than the g-value of typical carbon-centred radicals. This is usually the case when an appreciable percentage of unpaired spin is located on oxygen atom O(3), which is known to




Figure 4. The structure of the radical formed in a γ -irradiated molecule of dihydrotestosterone.

have a larger spin-orbit coupling constant than other atoms in the molecule of dihydrotestosterone. The similarity of the EPR spectra of a γ -irradiated single crystal of hydrated monoclinic testosteron (Szyczewski et al 1986) confirms the assumption that the unpaired electron is localized in A-ring of the molecule.

 $[\]ddagger$ Principal values \pm 0.03.

[§] The contributions from the two distinguishable sites are indicated by + and - signs.

Hydrogen atom abstraction from C(2) implies a change in hybridization from sp³ to sp² and a reorientation of the plane C(2), C(3), C(4). The geometrical model of the dihydrotestosterone radical proposed was considered to confirm the experimental data. The model was constructed under the assumption of lengths

Hyperfine splitting (mT)			π-spin density		
Position	Expt.	INDO calc.	McConell	INDO	
C,		3.4	0.7	P _r 0.6033	
				$P_y = 0.0772$	
			•	P, 0.0781	
H ₂	1.85	1.79		0.0021	
Н.,	2.13	2.42		- 0.0381	
н,,	4.36	4 0		- 0.0014	

Table 2. Coupling constants and calculated spin densities from the INDO calculations for dihydrotestosterone radical.

of the C(2)-C(3) bond $(1.425 \, \text{Å})$ and equal angles, C(1)-C(2)-C(3), C(2)-C(3)-C(4) (=120°). On the basis of this model, INDO calculation were performed. The distribution of unpaired electron densities and hyperfine coupling constants calculated by INDO-method are compared with the experimental results in Table 2. The comparison shows good agreement between the calculated and observed results.

The isotropic part of the hyperfine coupling A (iso.) arising from the \leftarrow -proton can be used to estimate the spin density (ρ_o) of the carbon atom C(2) by using Mc Connell's relation (McConnell and Chesnut 1958). $A_\alpha(\text{iso.}) = Q_{\text{H}}\rho_o$, where the constant $Q_{\text{H}} = -2.62$ mT (Fessender and Wand Schuler 1963). The value obtained is $\rho_o = 0.7$ was almost the same as calculated by INDO.

References

Busetta B, Couresetlle C, Feornies J M and Hospital M 1972 Cryst, Struct, Commun. 1 43 Fessender R W and Schuler R H 1963 J. Chem. Phys. 39 2147

Hafez A M, Szyczewski A, Krzyminieski R and Pietrzak J 1984 Proc. 16th Annual Internati.

Conf. on Electron spin resonance of radicals in Organic and bio-organic systems (Oxford University, UK)

Hafez A M, Krzyminiewski R, Szyczewski A and Pietrzak J 1987 J. Mol. Struct. 160 127 Krzyminiewski R, Hafez A M, Pietrzak J and Szyczewski A J 1983 Magn. Reson. 51 308 McConnell H M and Chesnut D B 1958 J. Chem. Phys. 28 107 Rexroud H N and Grody W 1959 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 45 256

Szyczewski A, Krzyminiewski R, Hafez A M and Pietrzak J 1986 Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 50 841