Thermodynamics of the Compton Effect with Reference to the Interior of the Stars

BY

8. CHANDRASEKHAR.

ABSTRACT.

This paper deals with the statistical equilibrium of a system containing (1) electrons and quanta and (2) electrons, ionised atoms and quanta. Expressions are derived for the ratio of the probability coefficients connected with the 'Compton-type' collisions and 'Reversed-Compton type' collisions. This is nearly unity in the first case and nearly 3.3 × 10²⁷ in the second case. This enormous difference in the results in the two cases is explained as due to the fact that the Reversed Compton Effect in the case of bound electrons is one of a triple collision and that with free electrons is an ordinary double collision. On this basis, the softening of high frequency radiation created in the interior of a star is attributed to the Compton-scattering with bound electrons.

1. Compton Scattering with Free Electrons.

let a quantum of energy $h\nu(=E_0)$ collide with an electron of energy E_1 . After the collision let the recoil electron go with energy E_3 and the scattered quantum with $E_4(=h\nu')$. If the collision is of the Compton-type the electron goes with increased energy and the quantum with reduced frequency

(s.e.) Av>ho' and E,>E,

[But &+B, = &+B.]

The probability of Compton collision can be determined by applying for the number of electrons the Fermi-Dirac statistics and for the number of quanta Bose's expression. The probability of Compton collision is evidently proportional to the numbers of each present. The probability of the Compton collision is

$$= a \times \frac{1}{h^{5}} \times 2\pi. \ (2m)^{\frac{5}{3}} E_{1}^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{e^{a + \frac{E_{1}}{kT}} + 1} dE_{1}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{h^3} \times 2\pi (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}} E_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{E_{\frac{1}{2}}}{kT} - 1} dE$$
 ... (1)

Consider the inverse process now. An electron with energy E₈ and a quantum with energy E₄ collide and after the collision the quantum gets hardened. The probability is

$$=a'$$
, $\frac{1}{h^3} \times 2\pi (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ $E_{\bullet}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $\frac{1}{e^{\frac{E_{\bullet}}{kT}}-1}$, dE_{\bullet}

$$\times \frac{1}{h^{5}} \times 2\pi \ (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot E_{5}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \frac{1}{e^{\alpha + \frac{E_{5}}{kT} + 1}} \cdot dE_{5} \cdot ...$$
 (2)

These two according to the principle of detailed balancing should be equal if there is thermodynamic equilibrium. Equating the two expressions we get

$$\frac{a}{a'} = \sqrt{\frac{E_{s} \cdot E_{\bullet}}{E_{1} \cdot E_{\bullet}}} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{E_{\bullet}}{e^{kT}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{a^{a} + \frac{E_{1}}{kT}}{kT} + 1\right)}{\left(\frac{E_{\bullet}}{e^{kT}} - 1\right) \left(\frac{E_{\bullet}}{e^{a} + \frac{E_{3}}{kT}} + 1\right)}.$$

If we are considering very hard quanta, and a system at very high temperature, we can, because the energy is conserved, write

$$\frac{a}{a'} = \sqrt{\frac{E_s \cdot E_s}{E_1 \cdot E_s}} = \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{\delta \nu}{E_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\delta \nu}{E}\right)}$$

where $\delta \nu =$ change in the frequency of the initial and the scattered quantum.

Now the change in the frequency of the scattered quantum after collision with a moving electron has been worked out by Dirac and he gives

$$\frac{\delta \nu}{\nu} = (4kT - h\nu)/mc^2 (1 - \cos\theta')^2$$

which is very small under the conditions of a Star's interior.

Hence $\frac{a}{a'}$ is nearly unity to a rough approximation.

If we write now

$$K=a.\sqrt{E_1.E_2}$$
and
$$K=a'.\sqrt{E_2E_4}$$
and
$$n_1 = \frac{1}{e^{a+}\frac{E_1}{kT}+1}, n_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{E_2}{e^{kT}}-1}$$

$$n_3 = \frac{1}{e^{a+}\frac{E_3}{kT}+1}; n_4 = \frac{1}{\frac{E_4}{e^{kT}}-1}$$

we easily get, because

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{K}n_1n_1=\underline{\mathbb{K}}'n_1n_4\\ \text{and } \left(\frac{1}{n_1}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_4}+1\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n_4}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_4}+1\right) \end{array}$$

for the probability of a Compton collision considering the fact that n_a and n_a are small compared with unity, the expression

$$K. n_1 \frac{\frac{h\nu}{k^{\frac{h\nu}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}}}}{\left(\frac{h\nu}{e^{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}}-1\right)\left(\frac{h\nu}{e^{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}}-1\right)}$$

which thus depends upon the frequency of the quantum after recoil. This is the same formula as that given by Pauli,²

¹ P. A. M. Dirac, 'Monthly Notice, Vol. 85, p. 880 (1925).

¹ W. Pauli Jr., Zeits für Physik. 18, 272 (1928).

2. Compton-Scatterring with Bound Electrons.

Consider a system of unit volume under equilibrium conditions containing N_i atoms in the state i which are capable of being ionised to a further state j. If ϵ_{∞} is the energy necessary for ionisation we may think of the ionisation process as commencing with a quantum of energy $\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_1$ colliding between an atom in the state i, and ending with an atom in the ionised state j, the colliding quantum having dropped in energy content to a new value ϵ_2 and the dislodged electron going off with energy ϵ_3 where

For the number of quanta having energy between $\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{1} + d\epsilon_{1}$ we can write Bose's expression

$$= \frac{A.dE_1\sqrt{E_1}}{\frac{e_{\infty}+e_1}{kT}-1}$$
 [where A is the number of cells]

The chance that these quanta will make any collision with an atom in the state i will evidently be proportional to the numbers of quanta and atoms, to the cross section of the atom and the velocity c with which the quanta are moving. (It is assumed that the atoms have a speed not very much comparable with that of light.) Hence the number of collisions of the 'first kind' in which the quanta ionise to the atoms i by Compton collision is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_{+i+j} = \mathbf{P}_{+i+j} \times \mathbf{N}_{i} \times \frac{\mathbf{A}\sqrt{\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{1}}}{\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{1}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{I}}{0}$$

where the probability factor P_{+i+j} may be thought of as the product of the cross section of atom and the chance that a collision will be successful.

Let us now consider the 'inverse process' which will lead to the recapture of an electron by an ionised atom in the —j state. Three bodies will evidently be involved in such a recapture: (1) an ionised atom in the state —j, (2) an electron with energy

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$$

and (3) a quantum with energy ϵ_2 which will aid in the recapture by carrying the excess energy. The electron and the quantum in this process should be moving towards the atom as at the end of the Compton collision.

Since the chance that an ion and an electron are located together in any chosen volume is proportional to the numbers of each present, and the chance that such a pair will be hit by a quantum is proportional to number of quanta of such energy and velocity c with which the quanta are moving.

If the number of atoms in the ionised state -j

$$=N_{-i}$$

Number of electrons in the energy range ϵ_a and $\epsilon_a + d\epsilon_a$ is given by the statistics of Fermi and Dirac

$$= \frac{1}{h^3} 2\pi (2m)^{\frac{4}{3}} e^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{de_1}{e^{\frac{1}{k}} + \frac{e^2}{k}}$$

Number of Quanta is given by

$$=\frac{A_{\bullet}.d\epsilon_{\bullet} \sqrt{\epsilon_{\bullet}}}{\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{e^{2}}-1)}$$

Hence the probability of an inverse process is

$$Z_{-j-i} = P_{-j-i} \times N_{-j} \frac{1}{h^2}, 2\pi \cdot (2m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\epsilon_{2}}{a + \frac{e_{3}}{kT}} + 1$$

$$\times \frac{A_{i}d\epsilon_{3}}{(e^{\frac{e_{3}}{kT}} - 1)} \frac{1}{e} \sqrt{\epsilon_{3}}$$

The number of the two must be equal and according to the principle of microscopic reversibility, we get introducing the condition

$$P_{+i+j}, N_i = \frac{1}{\frac{\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_1}{kT} - 1}. \quad \sqrt{\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_1}$$

$$=P_{-,-}, \cdot N_{-}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_{s}} \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon_{s}}}{\left(\begin{array}{c} a+\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{kT}+1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\epsilon_{s}}{kT} \\ s^{\frac{1}{kT}}-1 \end{array}\right)} \cdot \frac{2\pi (2m)^{1/s}}{h_{s}}$$

For pair of transitions -i to j and +j to i and also i to -j and j to -i we get similar expressions and so we get finally

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}_{i,i}}{\mathbf{P}_{j,i}} = \frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}_{i}}, \frac{\left(\frac{\infty^{+\epsilon_{1}}}{kT} - 1\right)\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\cdot\epsilon_{3}} 2\pi(2m)^{3/2}}{\left(\frac{\alpha^{+}\frac{\epsilon_{3}}{kT}}{kT} + 1\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon_{3}}{e^{\frac{k}{kT}}} - 1\right)\sqrt{\epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{1}h^{2}}}$$

It can easily be shown that if there is equilibrium (thermal) between the atoms in the i and the j state and the free electrons

Then
$$\frac{N_j \times N_s}{N} = \frac{(2\pi m k \top)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
 σ . $e^{-\frac{\infty}{k \top}}$

where σ = the symmetry factor

= No. of electrons in the same shell of the i-atoms.

Also in the Fermi-Dirac statistics

where

$$N_{\bullet} = \frac{(2\pi mk \top)^{*'}}{h^{3}} \cdot F(a)$$

where
$$F(a) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x + dx}{e^{a+x} + 1}$$

=e a for a first approximation

Hence we get

$$\frac{N_{j}}{N_{i}} = \sigma. \ e^{-\frac{\infty}{kT}} F(\alpha)^{-1}$$

Hence our final expression for the ratio of the two probability coefficients is

$$= \frac{\frac{P_{ij}}{P_{ji}}}{\frac{2\pi. (2m)^{\frac{1}{3}.\epsilon_{3}^{\frac{1}{3}.\epsilon_{3}^{\frac{1}{3}.\epsilon_{3}^{\frac{1}{3}}}\left(\frac{h\nu}{e^{k\top}}-1\right). \sigma e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{\infty}}{k\top}}}{\frac{h^{3} \sqrt{\epsilon_{\infty}+\epsilon_{1}.} F(a)\left(\frac{h\nu'}{e^{k\top}}-1\right)\left(\frac{a+\frac{h\nu-h\nu'-\epsilon_{\infty}}{k\top}+1\right)}}$$

where $h\nu$ = the energy of the initial quanta.

hv'=the energy after scattering

which gives $h\nu + h\nu' - \epsilon_{\infty} = as$ the energy of recoil. As an approximation we may write

$$\frac{P_{i,j}}{P_{i,i}} = \frac{2\pi(2m)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{4}$$

If the energy of recoil is expressed by \frac{1}{2}mv^2 we get

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{P_{ji}} = \frac{4m}{h^2} m^2 c = 3.631 \times 10^{27} \times y \times e.$$

8. Ionisation by Electronic Impact.

The problem treated above naturally leads to another, the ionisation by Electron Impact. This problem is treated very elegantly by R. C. Tolman in his Statistical Mechanics. This problem is exactly the same as the one treated in the previous section provided we substitute 'electron' for quantum.' In the treatment by Tolman, he uses for the electron-gas the Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics. The electron-gas at ordinary temperatures is a degenerate system. So the Fermi-Dirac statistics should be used. The problem can exactly be followed as in the treatment by Tolman, substituting the new-statistics for the old. It is of interest to find the nature of the final expression when we use the new statistics.

For the direct collision we get probability

$$P_{i,j} = N_i = \frac{1}{e^+ \frac{E_1}{RT} + 1} = E_1^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2E_1}{m}} \cdot 2\pi (2m)^{\frac{2}{3}} dE_1$$

where E, is the initial energy of the electron greater than €∞

For the collision of the second kind we get

$$P_{j,i} N_{j} \frac{1}{\left(e^{a+\frac{E_{s}}{ET}}+1\right)\left(e^{a+\frac{E_{s}}{ET}}+1\right)} \left[2\pi(2m)^{\frac{a}{4}}\right]^{s}$$

$$\times \sqrt{\frac{2E_{s}}{m}} \times E_{s}^{\frac{1}{4}} E_{s}^{\frac{1}{4}} dE_{s},$$

where E,=the energy of the recoil electron.

E₃=the energy of the initial colliding electron after the collison. So that

$$E_1 = E_2 + E_3 + \epsilon_{\infty}$$

Hence

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{P_{ji}} = \frac{N_j}{N_i} \frac{e^{a + \frac{E_f}{RT}} + 1}{\left(e^{a + \frac{E_g}{RT}} + 1\right)\left(e^{a + \frac{E_g}{RT}} + 1\right)} \cdot 2\pi \cdot \left[2m\right]^{\frac{a}{2}}$$

$$\times \frac{E_a E_a^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E_1}$$

Simplifying for $\frac{Nj}{Ni}$ we get

$$\frac{P_{ij}^{ij}}{P_{ji}^{i}} = \frac{\left(e^{\alpha + \frac{E_{1}}{RT}} + 1\right)\sigma, 2\pi, (2m)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, E_{1}, E_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F(\alpha)e^{\frac{\alpha}{RT}}\left(e^{\alpha + \frac{E_{2}}{RT}} + 1\right)\left(e^{\alpha + \frac{E_{3}}{RT}} + 1\right)E_{1}}$$

an expression analogous to that for the Compton and the Reversed Compton collision. Hence, 'Ionisation by Electronic impact' is a kind of Compton scattering.

4. An Explanation for the softening of Hard Radiation created in the Interior of a Star.

"To justify the theories of the source of stellar energy we must satisfy ourselves that the star contains the necessary mechanism for transforming the high-frequency radiation (created in it) into a normal form of energy." This is explained as due to Compton scattering with electrons the wave-length being increased after each collision.

In an atmosphere containing quanta of such high energy it is impossible to conceive of free electrons not having on the average, the energy of the quanta themselves. Because by the ordinary process of Compton scattering the average energy of electrons is increased. This will continue till the average energy of the electrons becomes equal to that of the quanta So if in one collision a quantum is softened in another it is hardened.

à. S. Eddington, 'Internal Constitution of Stare,' p. 216.

If it is then not possible to explain the softening of radiation by the Compton-scattering with free electrons then the only other alternative is that it may perhaps be with bound electrons and the equations arrived at in the previous sections support this conclusion. If there is thermodynamic equilibrium, the product of the numbers of electrons, quanta and ionised atoms present in 1 cm3 (probability of the 'Reversed Compton' scattering) has to be multiplied by a factor of the order of 10-27 to make it equal to the product of the numbers of quanta and atoms (probability of the Compton scattering). The former, in our conception, represents the process by which the quanta are hardened, and the latter by which the quanta are softened. In a star therefore where there is no thermodynamical equilibrium it is the direct scattering which is much more probable than the 'reversed scattering.' This conclusion is a natural consequence of our conception of the 'Reversed Compton Effect' with bound electrons. It may be argued that there can be fundamentally no difference between Compton-Scattering with bound and free electrons, for there is a gradual transition from one to the other. But our conception of the 'Reversed Compton Scattering' with bound electrons, however weak the binding may be, is fundamentally different. 'The Reversed Compton-Effect' with bound electrons is one of triple collision, whereas that with free electrons is an ordinary collision of two bodies. Hence the divergence of the results in the two cases. To conclude, it is perhaps the Compton-scattering with bound electrons that contribute very largely to the softening of the radiation than that with free electrons.

I have great pleasure in expressing my thanks to Mr. S. P. Venkateswaran with whom this problem was discussed a number of times.

Passidency College, Madras.

28th September, 1928.