

Metal substitution in lactoferrins : the crystal structure of manganese lactoferrin at 3.4 Å resolution

S Kumar, A K Sharma and T P Singh*

Department of Biophysics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi-110 029, India

e-mail: tps@medins.ernet.in

Received 27 July 1999, accepted 8 October 1999

Abstract : Lactoferrin is a glycoprotein of transferrin family. A characteristic property of transferrins is their ability to bind very tightly ($K_{app} \cong 10^{20}$) but reversibly, two Fe^{3+} ions. The structural consequences of binding a metal different from Fe^{3+} have been examined by crystallographic analysis of manganese mare lactoferrin (Mn_2Lf). The three-dimensional structure of Mn_2Lf has been determined and is refined to an R -factor of 0.223 for 5549 reflections in the resolution range of 15.0–3.4 Å. The manganese geometries with distorted polyhedral coordinations are similar in both the lobes. The carbonate anions in both the lobes interact with Mn^{2+} in a monodentate manner unlike in the case of trivalent cations where the interactions are bidentate in nature. The anion hydrogen bondings in both the lobes are essentially similar. The position of manganese in the metal binding site is similar to that occupied by Fe^{3+} . There are minor readjustments of the protein ligands in the binding site but the overall protein structure remains unaltered. The five fold coordination of lactoferrin with a divalent Mn^{2+} unlike six fold coordination with Fe^{3+} clearly indicates the preference for the Fe^{3+} cations. This conclusion should be true also of other transferrins.

Keywords : Lactoferrin, transferrin, manganese, lobes, domains

PACS No. : 87.15.By

1. Introduction

Lactoferrin is a glycoprotein of the transferrin family. It has a characteristic ability to bind, tightly but reversibly two Fe^{3+} ions, together with two CO_3^{2-} anions [1,2]. Crystallographic studies on various iron saturated lactoferrins have revealed that the iron coordination is the same in all the cases [3]. The metal is bound to four protein ligands (two Tyr, one Asp and one His) and a bidentate CO_3^{2-} anion, and with the two specific sites in each protein being essentially equivalent. In addition to this very high affinity for Fe^{3+} and CO_3^{2-} , lactoferrin can also bind a wide variety of other cations and anions, at the same specific sites. Metal ions of varying sizes and oxidation states ranging from +2 to +5 can be accommodated, including Cu^{2+} , Co^{3+} , Mn^{3+} [4], Mn^{2+} [5], VO^{2+} [6], VO_2^+ [7], lanthanide cations [8–10] and Th^{4+} [7].

Crystallographic analyses of rabbit serum transferrin [11], diferric human lactoferrin [12,13] hen ovotransferrin

[14] duck ovotransferrin [15], bovine lactoferrin [16], mare lactoferrin [17] and buffalo lactoferrin [18,19] have defined the location and nature of the iron sites. All the proteins have essentially the similar bilobal structures, with one iron site in each lobe, deep in the cleft between two domains. The iron ligands, 2 Tyr, 1 His, 1 Asp and a bidentate carbonate anion are the same in every case and binding geometries are also grossly similar.

The structural studies of human copper-lactoferrin (involving divalent Cu^{2+}) [20] and mare samarium-lactoferrin (involving a trivalent cation with larger atomic radius) [21] and have revealed various differences in the coordinations of these metal ions and other geometries in the metal binding sites. The observed differences reveal the significance of studying lactoferrin structures with different metal ion substitutions before a general view is formed for the extrapolation of structural conclusions. In order to generalize the mechanism of metal ion-lactoferrin interactions and to correlate the structural changes in lactoferrin molecule with the size and charge density of

*Corresponding author

metal ions, we have analyzed the crystal structure of a dimanganese mare lactoferrin (Mn_2Lf) at 3.4 Å resolution. Admittedly it would have been desirable to work at higher resolution. However, as repeated attempts to grow better diffracting crystals did not succeed, the effort here has been to extract maximum possible information from available data through careful analysis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Purification of lactoferrin :

Mare milk was obtained from the National Research Centre on Equines, Hisar (India). The purification was carried out using a locally modified procedure [22]. Diluted colostrum/milk was defatted by skimming. Skimmed milk was diluted twice with 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. CM-Sephadex C-50 was added to it (7 g/l) and stirred slowly using a mechanical stirrer for an hour. The gel was allowed to settle and the milk was decanted. The gel was washed with excess of 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 and then packed into a column (25 × 2.5 cm) and washed with the same buffer containing 0.1 M NaCl which facilitated the removal of impurities. The lactoferrin was then eluted with the same buffer but containing 0.25 M NaCl. The protein solution was dialyzed against an excess of triple distilled water. The protein was again passed through CM-Sephadex C-50 column (10 × 2.5 cm) pre-equilibrated with 0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 and eluted with a linear gradient of 0.05 M—0.3 M NaCl in the same buffer. The protein was concentrated using Amicon ultrafiltration cell. The concentrated protein was passed through Sephadex G-100 column (100 × 2 cm) using 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0. The purity of lactoferrin was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) [23].

2.2. Preparation of Mn_2Lf :

Iron was removed from the purified native lactoferrin using the procedure of Mason *et al* [24]. The purified lactoferrin solution (1%) in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8.0 was dialyzed against excess of 0.1 M citric acid with regular changes after every six hours. Finally, citric acid was removed by dialysis against excess of distilled water with regular changes for 24 hrs at 4°C. The colourless apo-protein obtained was lyophilized. This mare apolactoferrin was used for the preparation of dimanganese lactoferrin. The manganese chloride ($MnCl_2$) was added in excess to the solution of apolactoferrin in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate-sodium citrate buffer, pH 8.0 to saturate the protein with the metal ions. The product formed was dialyzed against the 0.025 M Tris-HCl at pH 8.0 and lyophilized.

2.3. Crystallization :

The complex was immediately put for crystallization. The crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by

microdialysis. The protein solution with a concentration of 40 mg/ml in 0.025 M Tris-HCl was equilibrated against the same buffer containing 10% (v/v) ethanol at pH 8.0. Crystallization experiments were carried out at 4°C. The crystals grew in two weeks to dimensions of 0.7 × 0.4 × 0.2 mm³.

2.4. Data collection :

Crystals were mounted in glass capillaries of 0.7 mm in diameter. The X-ray intensities were measured at 288 K using a MAR Research imaging plate scanner with a diameter of 300 mm. The crystal to detector distance was kept at 300 mm. Monochromatic $CuK\alpha$ radiation was produced with a graphite monochromator mounted on a Rigaku RU-200 rotating anode X-ray generator operating at 40 kV and 100 mA with a focal point of 0.3 × 3 mm². 110 images, each of 1° rotation, were collected. The exposure time was fixed at 900 seconds. The data were collected using only one crystal. The crystal diffracted upto 3.4 Å resolution. The HKL-package [25] and MARXDS and MARSCALE [26] were used for the determination of unit cell parameters, data processing and scaling of the data. The crystals belong to orthorhombic system, space group $P2_12_12_1$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The cell dimensions were found to be $a = 80.1$ Å, $b = 103.2$ Å; $c = 112.6$ Å with a solvent content of 57% and are isomorphous to that of $Fe_2(CO_3)_2$ lactoferrin ($a = 80.6$ Å; $b = 103.8$ Å; $c = 112.3$ Å). The data completeness corresponded to 56.1% with 5549 unique reflections. In the highest resolution shell, the completeness was 55%. The R_{sym} was 0.116. The details of crystallographic data are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Data collection statistics

Space group	$P2_12_12_1$
Cell dimensions (Å)	$a = 80.1, b = 103.2, c = 112.6$
Number of molecules in the unit cell	4
V_m (Å ³ /Da)	2.88
Solvent content (%)	57
Wavelength (Å)	$CuK\alpha, 1.5418$
X-ray source	Rotating anode generator operating at 40 kV, 100 mA (focal size 0.3 × 3 mm ²)
Collimation	0.3 × 0.3 mm ²
Detector	MAR research imaging plate
Crystal to plate distance	300 mm
Resolution limits (Å)	15.0–3.4
Number of observed reflections	5549
completeness of all data (%)	56.1
R_{sym} *	11.4

$$*R_{sym} = \frac{\sum hkl \sum_i |I_2 - I_{ave}|}{\sum hkl \sum_i |I_i|}$$




Figure 1. Stereoview of the Fo-Fc electron density map of N-lobe metal binding site of manganese lactoferrin. Contours are drawn at 1 σ level.




Figure 2. Overall molecular structure of dimanganese lactoferrin showing helix, strands and coils. The position of Mn^{2+} is indicated as red spheres. The N1, N2, C1 and C2 domains are also indicated.



Figure 3. Superposition of α tracing of dimanganese (magenta) and diferric (blue) mare lactoferrins.




Figure 4. Stereoview showing N-lobe metal and anion binding site of dimanganese mare lactoferrin.

2.5. Structure determination and refinement :

The crystals of Mn_2Lf were isomorphous with native diferric lactoferrin (Fe_2Lf) crystals [17]. The refined atomic coordinates of the Fe_2Lf structure were used as the starting model for refinement, after removing Fe^{3+} and CO_3^{2-} ions, the solvent molecules, protein side chains involved in metal binding (four in each binding site) and anion binding protein side chains (Arg 121 and Arg 463, Thr 117 and Thr 459). All of these side chains were mutated to Ala. The initial model was built using O [27]. The refinement was carried out by rigid body, conjugate-gradient minimization, simulated annealing and *B*-factor refinement protocols of the X-PLOR [28] using the Engh and Huber parameter libraries [29]. Initially, rigid body minimization was done by considering four rigid groups corresponding to four domains in the molecule. This reduced the *R*-factor to 0.38. The R_{free} at this stage was 0.473. The sequence of the protein was compared with the map and the side chains were introduced into electron density without much ambiguity. The electron density improved after each cycle of refinement and the *R*-factor dropped gradually. Repeated steps of model building using 2Fo-Fc and Fo-Fc maps with O reduced the *R*-factor to 0.28. In view of the limited resolution, a conservative *B*-factor refinement was performed. The global *B*-factor was initially refined. This was followed by the refinement of one *B*-factor per residue. So far the model did not include the side chains of protein ligands and Mn^{2+} . The Fo-Fc map calculated at this stage showed good electron density at metal binding sites to which manganese atoms and carbonate ions and protein side chains involved in metal binding were fitted in both the lobes (Figure 1). The positions of manganese atoms and carbonate ions were refined with distance constraints. The positions of manganese cations and carbonate anions were also checked by omit maps. Further model building and positional refinement were also carried out with distance constraints. This yielded an *R*-factor of 21.9% (*R*-free = 32.6%). The entire data set, including the reflections which were set aside

for calculating *R*-free was then used in 40 cycles of positional refinement, which yielded a final *R*-factor of 22.3%. The quality of the protein model was assessed by the program PROCHECK [30]. Ramachandran plot [31] showed that 93% of the ϕ , ψ angles were in the most favoured and additionally allowed regions. A summary of the refined model and the relevant geometrical parameters are given in Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. General description :

The final atomic coordinate set is comprised of 5281 protein atoms from 689 amino acid residues, $2Mn^{2+}$ cations and $2CO_3^{2-}$ anions. The polypeptide fold is closely similar to diferric mare lactoferrin (Fe_2Lf) [17] and disamarium lactoferrin (Sm_2Lf) [21] (Figure 2). The superposition of Mn_2Lf on Fe_2Lf gave a root-mean-square (r.m.s.) deviation of 1.5 Å for all the 689 C α atoms (Figure 3). The average *B*-factor for the Mn_2Lf structure is 36.4 Å², which is comparable to the values of 34.5 Å² and 35.0 Å² observed in diferric mare lactoferrin [17] and disamarium mare lactoferrin [21], respectively. The distribution of *B* values along the polypeptide chain follows the expected pattern with regular secondary structures and internal regions having lower *B* values and external loops with higher *B* values. The average *B* values of iron binding ligands in the N- and C-lobes are 28.0 Å² and 28.5 Å² respectively. There are only a few regions where the electron density is not well defined. The first two residues of the N-terminus are not clearly visible. The loop 83–88, in the N-lobe and 418–424 in the C-lobe are poorly defined.

3.2. Metal and anion sites :

In the final model, the manganese geometries with five fold coordinations are similar in the two sites. A list of coordination distances is given in Table 3. The manganese

Table 3. Distances in metal and anion binding sites.

Table 2. Summary of the refinement statistics

Resolution range (Å)	15.0–3.4
Number of observed reflections	5549
<i>R</i> -factor for all data (%)	22.3
<i>R</i> -free (%)	32.6
Number of protein atoms	5281
Number of metal ions	$2Mn^{2+}$
Number of carbonate atoms	8
R.M.S deviation in bond lengths (Å)	0.019
R.M.S deviation in bond angles (°)	2.6
R.M.S deviation in dihedral angle (°)	28.8
Residues in most favoured and allowed regions (%)	93

	N-lobe*	C-lobe*
A. Metal - ligand bond lengths (Å)		
Mn—OD1 Asp 60 (395)	2.0 (2.0)	2.0 (2.2)
Mn—OH Tyr 92 (433)	2.2 (1.9)	2.0 (1.9)
Mn—OH Tyr 102 (526)	2.1 (1.9)	1.8 (1.9)
Mn—NE2 His 253 (579)	2.0 (2.3)	2.0 (2.5)
Mn—O2 (Carbonate)	1.9 (1.9)	2.1 (1.9)
B. Anion hydrogen bond lengths (Å)		
O1—NE Arg 121 (463)	2.7 (3.0)	3.1 (2.7)
O1—NH2 Arg 121 (463)	2.9 (2.9)	3.1 (2.9)
O2—N Ser 123 (465)	3.1 (2.9)	2.8 (2.8)
O3—OG Thr 117 (459)	3.1 (3.1)	2.9 (3.0)
O3—N Gly 124 (466)	3.1 (2.7)	3.0 (3.0)

*The values in parentheses are those from Fe_2Lf [17].

atom has been found to be shifted by 0.7 Å in the N-lobe and by 0.5 Å in the C-lobe from the corresponding positions of Fe³⁺ atom in the diferric mare lactoferrin structure. In general, the metal-ligand coordination distances are comparable to those observed in Fe₂Lf (Table 3).

The carbonate anion interacts with manganese in monodentate manner. Whereas in Fe₂Lf and Sm₂Lf it was found to be involved in the interactions in a bidentate manner. The anion binds to a positively charged N-terminus of helix 5 residues 121–124 (463–466) and the side chain of Arg 121(463) as well as Thr 117. The hydrogen bonding pattern of carbonate ion is similar to that observed in the structure of Fe₂Lf. The metal ion coordination and the hydrogen bonding involving carbonate anion are similar in both lobes. A stereo view showing metal and anion binding site is given in Figure 4.

A fundamental finding from this work is that the combined binding strength of manganese and carbonate ions is weaker than that observed for the combination of iron and carbonate ions in Fe₂Lf. The pH dependent metal binding and release experiments have indicated the release of manganese ion consistently at a slightly higher pH value (pH 3–4) than that observed for ferric ion (pH 2–3). It is remarkable that the protein ligands are able to rearrange to accommodate a divalent manganese cation in the binding cleft without perturbing the overall structure of the protein. Though, the binding clefts in lactoferrin molecule are well designed for Fe³⁺, the protein side chains involved in the metal and anion bindings are flexible enough to allow the entry of various cations and anions of different sizes and charges. Therefore, it is indicative of a wider sequestering capability of lactoferrin molecule. This conclusion should be true also of other transferrins. One important implication is that the proteins of transferrin family carrying different metal ions could bind to the receptors as the protein structures are unchanged.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Department of Biotechnology for financial assistance. SK thanks the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research for the grant of a Research Associateship.

References

- [1] J H Brock *Arch. Dis. Child.* **55** 417 (1985)
- [2] P Aisen and A Leibman *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **257** 314 (1972)
- [3] S Karthikeyan, S Sharma, A K Sharma, M Paramasivam, S Yadav, A Srinivasan and T P Singh *Curr. Sci.* **77** 2146 (1999)
- [4] E W Ainscough, A Brodie and J E Plowman *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **33** 145 (1979)
- [5] A K Sharma and T P Singh (Personal communication)
- [6] N D Chasteen, L K White and R F Campbell *Biochemistry* **16** 363 (1977)
- [7] W R Harris and C J Carrano *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **22** 201 (1984)
- [8] C K Luk *Biochemistry* **10** 2838 (1971)
- [9] O Zak and P Aisen *Biochemistry* **27** 1075 (1988)
- [10] P B O'Hara and R Bersohn *Biochemistry* **21** 5269 (1982)
- [11] S Bailey, R W Evans, R C Garrat, B Gorinsky, S Hasnain, C Horsburgh, H Jhoti, P F Lindley, A Mydin, R Sarra and J L Watson *Biochemistry* **27** 5804 (1988)
- [12] B F Anderson, H M Baber, G E Norris, D E Rice and E M Baker *J. Mol. Biol.* **209** 711 (1989)
- [13] M Haridas, B F Anderson and E N Baker *Acta Crystallogr.* **D51** 629 (1995)
- [14] H Kurokawa, B Mikami and M Hirose *J. Mol. Biol.* **254** 196 (1995)
- [15] A Rawas, H Muirhead and J Williams *Acta Crystallogr.* **D52** 631 (1996)
- [16] S A Moore, F Bryan, Anderson, R Colin, Groom, M Haridas and E N Baker *J. Mol. Biol.* **274** 222 (1997)
- [17] A K Sharma, M Paramasivam, A Srinivasan, M P Yadav and T P Singh *J. Mol. Biol.* **289** 303 (1999)
- [18] S Karthikeyan, M Paramasivam, S Yadav, A Srinivasan and T P Singh *Acta Crystallogr.* **D55** 2137 (1999)
- [19] S Karthikeyan, S Sharma, A K Sharma, M Paramasivam, S Yadav, A Srinivasan and T P Singh *Acta Crystallogr.* **D55** 2756 (1999)
- [20] C A Smith, B F Anderson, H M Baker and E N Baker *Biochemistry* **31** 4527 (1992)
- [21] A K Sharma, K R Rajashankar, M P Yadav and T P Singh *Acta Crystallogr.* **D55** 1152 (1999)
- [22] A K Sharma, S Karthikeyan, P Kaur, M P Yadav and T P Singh *Acta Crystallogr.* **D52** 1196 (1996)
- [23] U K Laemmli *Nature* **227** 680 (1970)
- [24] P L Masson and J F Heremans *Eur. J. Biochem.* **6** 579 (1968)
- [25] Z Otwinowski "Oscillation Data Reduction Program", in Proceedings of the CCP4 Study Weekend: "Data Collection and Processing" (29–30 January 1993) Compiled by: L Sawyer, N Issacs and S Bailey (SERC Daresbury Laboratory, England) p 56 and W Minor "XDISPLAYF Program" (Purdue University) (1993)
- [26] W Kabsch *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **21** 916 (1988)
- [27] T A Jones, J Zou, S W Cowan and M Kjeldgaard *Acta Crystallogr.* **A47** 110 (1991)
- [28] A T Bringer, J Kuriyan and M Karplus *Science* **235** 458 (1987)
- [29] R A Engh and R Huber *Acta Crystallogr.* **A47** 392 (1991)
- [30] R A Laskowski, M W MacArthur, D S Moss and J M Thornton *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **26** 283 (1993)
- [31] G N Ramachandran and V Sasisekaran *Adv. Prot. Chem.* **23** 283 (1968)