

Synthesis and characterization of two derivatives of 2-mercapto-3-m-tolyl-3H-quinazolin-4-one

M B Deshmukh, Savita Dhongade-Desai and S S Chavan*

Department of Chemistry, Shivaji University,
Kolhapur-416 004, Maharashtra, India

E-mail: sanjaych2@yahoo.com

Received 25 May 2004, accepted 20 January 2005

Abstract : Quinazoline derivatives are of special importance because of their versatile biological and pharmacological activities. The 2-(2-oxo-propylsulfanyl)-3-m-tolyl-3H-quinazolin-4-one(QA) and 2-(4-oxo-3-m-tolyl-3,4-dihydro-quinazolin-2-ylsulfanyl)-propionic acid methyl ester(QP) were synthesized by thiolating 2-thioxo-3-m-tolyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-quinazolin-4-one by an easy and efficient microwave-assisted method. The structures of the compounds have been confirmed on the basis of their IR, PMR spectral and elemental analyses. Further, characterization for crystal parameters and line broadening effects have been done.

Keywords : Quinazolinone, MW alkylation, X-ray diffraction

ACS Nos. 61.10.Nz, 78.30.Jw

Quinazoline derivatives are of special importance because of their versatile biological and pharmacological activities [1-6] especially anti-inflammatory, anti-convulsant, hypnotic, sedative, antemimetic, hypotensive, antibacterial *etc*. Avoiding organic solvent, microwave irradiation in organic reactions is used for short reaction time, operational simplicity and formation of cleaner reaction products. The use of thermal energy source in various organic reactions [7] is common and the use of domestic microwave oven in this regard is now a well-established procedure in microwave organic reaction enhancement (MORE) chemistry to obtain S-alkylated derivatives of thioquinazoline using different alkylating agents. Alkylation using catalytic amount of dimethyl formamide (DMF), the polar molecule, improves energy transfer and allows higher temperatures [9, 10] and less time compared to the conventional method of S-alkylation which requires a longer reaction time at a regulated temperature [11].

All m.p.'s. were determined in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded in KBr on Shimadzu IR-77 spectrophotometer and PMR spectra in CDCl₃ and DMSO-d₆ on Perkin-Elmer R-32 spectrometer using Trimethylsilane as

an internal standard. Thin layer chromatographic plates coated with silica-gel-G were used to check the purity of the compounds. Microwave irradiation was carried out in the domestic SHARP microwave oven. The X-ray diffractograms were recorded on Philips PW 3710 diffractometer with scanning rate 2°/min. attached to a digital computer along with graphical assembly of CuK α radiation source observed at Cu-Ni 40 kV / 40 mA.

2-(2-Oxo-propylsulfanyl)-3-m-tolyl-3H-quinazolin-4-one (QA) :

2.68 g (10 mmol) of 2-thioxo-3-m-tolyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-quinazolin-4-one, 1.84 g (20 mmol) of chloroacetone and 0.5 ml of DMF and powdered NaOH (0.5 g), smoothly mixed and placed inside a Pyrex-glass and the mixture was irradiated with microwaves (900 W / 2450 MHz frequency) for 8 minutes, the mixture was then added with 2N HCl (10 ml). The solid separated was filtered, conveniently dried and recrystallized from ethanol to get 2.27 g of (QA), yield 69.5%, mp. 142°C, IR(KBr): 1725(C=O), 1675(cyclic C=O), 1620 cm⁻¹(C=N), PMR (DMSO-d₆): 2.4(3H,s,-COCH₃), 3.6-4.0(2H,s, -SCH₂), 7.2-8.2 (8H,m,Ar-H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₈N₂O₂S: C, 66.23; H, 5.56; N, 8.58 %; Found: C, 66.2; H, 5.55; N, 8.6 %.

*Corresponding Author

2-(4-oxo-3-m-tolyl-3,4-dihydro-quinazolin-2-ylsulfanyl)-propionic acid methyl ester (QP):

2.68 g (10 mmol) of 2-thioxo-3-m-tolyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-quinazolin-4-one, 2.44 g (20 mmol) of methyl 2-chloropropionate and 0.5 ml of DMF and powdered NaOH (0.5 g), were smoothly mixed and placed inside a Pyrex-glass and the mixture was irradiated with microwaves (900 W /2450 MHz frequency) for 5 minutes, the mixture was added with 2N HCl (10 ml). The solid separated was filtered, conveniently dried and recrystallized from ethanol to get 2.67g of (QP), yield 75.2%, mp. 145°C, IR (KBr): 1755(C=O), 1710 (cyclic C=O), 1620(C=N), 1060 cm^{-1} (-O-). PMR (DMSO- d_6): 1.4(3H, d, J=7Hz., CH_3), 2.35(3H, s, Ar- CH_3), 3.75(3H, s, OCH_3), 4.3(1H, q, J=7.5Hz., S-CH), 7.2-8.2 (8H, m, Ar-H) Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{S}$: C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.86 %; Found: C, 64.0; H, 5.64; N, 7.9 %.

The proposed structures of (QA) and (QP) are presented in Figure 1 on the basis of IR, PMR spectral and elemental analyses.

The X-ray diffraction pattern of (QA) and (QP) with respect to their prominent peaks have been indexed using computer trial and error method [12], for a good fit between observed and calculated d values. The relative intensities corresponding to

the prominent peaks have been calculated by normalizing them with respect to their maxima.




Figure 1. Structures of (QA) and (QP).

The indexing of diffractogram of (QA) and (QP) are presented in Table 1. The indexing of diffractogram of both the compounds are nearly identical and it can be proposed that the compounds belong to same structural class. The comparison of the value of ' d ' reveals that there is good agreement between the calculated and observed value of ' d ' on the basis of assumption of orthorhombic crystal structure [13-16]. The compound (QA) has

Table 1. X-ray diffraction data of 2-(2-oxo-propylsulfanyl)-3-m-tolyl-3H-quinazolin-4-one (QA) and 2-(4-oxo-3-m-tolyl-3,4-dihydro-quinazolin-2-ylsulfanyl)-propionic acid methyl ester (QP)

QA						QP					
Peak No.	2 θ deg.	d_{obs}	d_{cal}	h k l	RI %	Peak No.	2 θ deg.	d_{obs}	d_{cal}	h k l	RI %
1	13.180	9.975	9.975	0 2 0	10.4	1	11.600	11.328	11.328	0 1 0	10.5
2	13.920	9.447	9.447	1 1 0	100	2	12.690	10.359	10.359	1 0 0	100
3	14.235	9.239	9.239	0 0 1	8.4	3	14.410	9.128	9.128	0 1 1	21.9
4	18.645	7.067	7.001	1 0 1	28.2	4	19.305	6.827	6.848	1 1 1	12.4
5	19.680	6.699	6.651	0 3 0	24.1	5	23.445	5.634	5.664	0 2 0	41.2
6	20.200	6.528	6.605	1 1 1	5.0	6	26.295	5.033	4.970	1 2 0	6.2
7	24.665	5.360	5.363	2 0 0	3.4	7	29.215	4.539	4.564	0 2 2	32.7
8	26.815	4.937	4.987	0 4 0	23.4	8	29.745	4.460	4.504	2 1 1	25.3
9	27.445	4.826	4.822	1 3 1	7.0	9	30.355	4.372	4.299	2 0 2	9.4
10	28.050	4.724	4.723	2 2 0	10.2	10	31.455	4.223	4.264	1 1 3	12.0
11	29.070	4.561	4.523	1 4 0	3.6	11	35.475	3.757	3.776	0 3 0	47.3
12	29.595	4.482	4.500	0 1 2	14.5	12	37.680	3.545	3.547	1 3 0	18.8
13	30.360	4.372	4.389	0 4 1	4.5	13	40.490	3.308	3.303	3 1 0	6.5
14	35.185	3.787	3.794	0 3 2	16.2	14	42.990	3.124	3.151	3 0 2	6.8
15	36.030	3.701	3.739	1 5 0	10.4	15	45.140	2.982	2.982	2 1 4	6.5
16	36.415	3.664	3.663	0 5 1	17.7	16	46.465	2.920	2.919	1 3 3	3.4
17	36.545	3.651	3.652	2 4 0	19.6						
18	37.890	3.526	3.520	3 1 0	7.2						
19	38.810	3.445	3.447	2 1 2	5.8						
20	43.235	3.107	3.098	2 3 2	9.0						
21	44.590	3.017	3.019	0 5 2	5.8						

lattice constants $a = 10.7267 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 19.9514 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 9.2398 \text{ \AA}$ and $V = 1977.43 \text{ \AA}^3$ and the compound (QP) has lattice constants $a = 10.359 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 11.328 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 15.412 \text{ \AA}$ and $V = 1808.76 \text{ \AA}^3$, respectively.

The experimental density values of the (QA) and (QP) determined by specific gravity method, are found to be 0.9567 and 1.1459 g cm⁻³, respectively. The number of molecules (n) per unit cell was calculated ($\rho = nm/NV$) and are found to be 4. With this number theoretical density was fixed and are 1.0489 cm⁻³ for (QA) and 1.2576 g cm⁻³ for (QP), respectively. The other parameters such as pore fraction, packing fraction, thickness of particle and radius of molecule were calculated. By using experimental and theoretical density of (QA) and (QP), the pore fraction was computed and it comes out to be 39.48 and 31.76 %, respectively. The radius and the volume of molecules of (QA) and (QP) were calculated and are found to be 3.792 and 3.662 Å and 228.481 and 205.780 Å³, respectively. The packing fraction were calculated using volume of molecule and volume of unit cell of (QA) and (QP) and are found to be 46.21 and 45.51%, respectively.

The particle size of the samples under study was determined using the equation $t = 0.9\lambda/B\cos\theta$ and are found to be 168 and 176 Å for (QA) and (QP), respectively. This parameter makes possible to distinguish between natural particle size and particle size due to broadening effect by calculating full width at half maximum (B) corresponding to its Bragg's θ . The plot of $B\cos\theta$ versus $\sin\theta$ was found to be straight line for both samples, parallel to X axis indicating the absence of any strains caused by inhomogeneous lattice distortions and compositional fluctuations. It is concluded that the compounds under investigation are homogeneous with respect to the particle size distribution.

Acknowledgments

Authors thank the In-charge, CFC, Shivaji University, Kolhapur for providing X-ray analysis facility.

References

- [1] W Reid and C Hirsh *J Org Chem.* **33** 143 (1966)
- [2] Moskalenko N Yu, L N Yakhontov, G P Zhikharera, G N Pershin, V V Peters, M I Eustratova and I M Mulikovskaya *Zh. Khimfarm* **20** 437 (1986), *Chem Abstr.* **106** 3297v (1987)
- [3] S S Tiwari and M P Pandey *Acta Ciencia Indica Chem.* **16c** 251(1990), *Chem Abstr* **116** 2355557q (1992)
- [4] R Tyagi, B Goel, V K Srivastava and A Kumar *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.* **60** 283 (1998)
- [5] A Kumar, R S Verma, B P Jaju and J N Sinha *J Indian Chem Soc* **67** 920 (1990)
- [6] L S Goodman and A Gilman *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, (7th edn) (London : Collin MacMillan) (1985)
- [7] S Caddick *Tetrahedron* **51** 10403 (1995), R S Varma *Green Chemistry* **43** (1999)
- [8] A K Bose, B K Banik, N Lavlinskaia, M Jayaraman and M S Manhas *Chemtech* **27** 18 (1997)
- [9] M Suárez, A Loupy, E Salfrán, L Morán and E Rolando *Heterocycles* **51** 21 (1999)
- [10] H Marquez, A Plutin, Y Rodríguez, E Pérez and A Loupy *Synth Commun.* **30** 1967 (2000)
- [11] M B Deshmukh, M A Shelar, J S Jadhav, B S Shinde and S H Patil *Indian J Hetero Chem* **6** 181(1997)
- [12] S S Chavan, P P Hankare, A H Jagtap and R T Pattar *Indian J Phys* **75A** 269 (2001)
- [13] R T Pattar, K R Choukmath and V G Tulsigeri *Indian J. Phys* **73A** 343 (1999)
- [14] B D Cullity *Elements of X-ray Diffraction*, (Massachusetts: Addison Wesley) (1956)
- [15] H P Klug and L E Alexander *X-ray Diffraction Procedure for Polycrystalline and Amorphous Materials* (New York : John Wiley London) (1974)
- [16] H S Peiser, H P Rookshy and A J C Wilson *X-ray Diffraction by Polycrystalline Material* (Institute of Physics, London) (1956)